

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/700,587	Applicant(s) HURLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen G. Sherman	Art Unit 2629	

All Participants:

(1) Stephen G. Sherman.

(2) Amr Awad.
Status of Application: _____

(3) Jason Vick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2007
Time: 3 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 14, 20 and 27-28


Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiners brought up concerns regarding the patentability of the claims. The applicant's representative then proposed possible claim amendments to alleviate these concerns.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

AMR A. AWAD
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)